

1819-033

SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY
CHANCERY PAPERS

MAGGET v a)

VS MAGGET's admr

3/1819

other surnames: Maget,
Daughtrey, Daughtrey

611

Maggots

to 3 Bile & Answer -

Danghty acc. to.

Nov 1818 deer with 1.000, according
to the party & the wife

March 1819 deer w. around

In the Worshipful the County Court of Southampton, in chancery
sitting, your Orators and Counsellors Mary J. Magget widow
and relict of Nicholas Magget dec^d. and William Nicholas,
Alfred, Mary and Angelina Magget infant children of the said
Nicholas Magget dec^d. by Mary J. Magget their Guardian
and next friend, humbly complaining sheweth,

That Nicholas Magget late of this County
deceased this life some time since intestate, seized and
possessed of a considerable Estate, consisting of Lands, slaves
and other Property - That at the time of his death
he left a widow and five infant children, which are
your complainants who are intitled to distribution of
the said Estate - That some time since a certain
William E. Sangster qualified as agent. De, Louis from
upon the said Nicholas Magget dec^d. and has reduced
the said Estate into his possession, and refuses a division
of the same, as he is advised it cannot be effected without
the aid and intervention of this Court. In tender consideration
whereof and in as much as your Complaints are reasonable
at common Law and also relievable in a Court of Equity
where matters of this kind are properly cognizable, they pray
your Worship to compel the said William E. Sangster agent
as aforesaid ~~and~~ who is made a defendant
here to time and perfect answer to make to the foregoing
Bills, that your Worship will decree a division of

the said State among your complainants agreeable to the act
of assembly making distribution of intestates Estates and that
your Worship will grant such other and further relief
the Premises considered as may be consistent with Equity &c.
and your Complainants as in duty bound will ever
Pray &c.

Efforts for Comfort.

The Cause of William E. Daughtry admr. de bonis
non of Nicholas Magget decd. to the bill exhibited against
him by Mary J. Magget Widow & relict of Nicholas Magget decd.
and William, Nicholas, Alfred Mary and Angelina Magget
infant Children of Nicholas Magget decd. by Mary J. Magget
their Guardian & next friend —

This defendant saving and reserving to himself all
and every benefit of exception to any error in the complaint
— and bill contained or to so much as he is advised it is
material to answer, saith, that it is true that Nicholas
Magget died intestate and that no distribution of his Estates
has yet been made among those legally entitled thereto as
is in the bill alleged — Your Respondent further states that
he has no objection to the Court decreeing a division thereof
agreeable to the Major of the bill — Denying all fraud &
combination &c. May be used to be dismissed &c.

Magget

to } In Answer
Daughtry admr. de.

This day this cause was docketed by consent and
heard on the bill and answer, when it is ordered, adjudged
and decreed by the Court that Jacob Barnes, William Bryant,
Green Everett, Samuel Magget and James Magget or any three
be commissioners appointed by the Court, (attended by the
County Surveyor) to divide the Lands and Slaves belonging
to the Estates of Nicholas Magget decd. in the following manner,
Vizt. first to lay off and assign one third part of the Lands
& Slaves of which Nicholas Magget died seized and possessed
to Mary J. Magget. The Wicows as the full Slaves therein
having respect to quality as well as quantity, and the remainder
— lay thus three times to divide into five equal Parts and assign
one fifth to William Magget, one fifth to Nicholas Magget,
one fifth Alfred Magget, one fifth to Mary Magget and
one fifth to Angelina Magget and that they make their
Report to this Court in order to a final decree. —

Maget's

(M)

Daugherty

(D)

(P)

(M)

(M)

(M)

(M)

Inte

Decree

a Copy



So.ampton County March Court 1819

Mary Jane Magel R. R.

Chaplin

ag.

W. Chy.

Daughtrey ad. R. R.

Defend.

This Cause this day again came on to be heard upon
the bill and answer. ~~the~~ decree heretofore pronounced and
arguments of Counsel, whereupon it is decreed and ordered
that the aforesaid decree heretofore granted be so amended
that the Commissioners therein appointed assign to the
widow her dower in said Estate for her life the part heretofore
directed, and the residue pay over to the Children or their
guardians or guardians in common, and that the name of
Alfred mentioned in the first decree be altered and taken
for the name of Arthur - and the said Commissioners
having done so that they or such of them as may act
report to this Court in writing a final decree -

A duplicate Test J. H. Middleton D.C.

Stamilton County Nov. Court 1878

Mary J. Maget widow and relict of Nicholas
Maget deceased, William Nicholas, Alfred, Mary
and Angelina Maget infant Children of the said
Nicholas Maget decd by Mary J. Maget their
guardian and next friends ----- Complainants
against -----

William E Doughtrey admr Debonis now of Nicholas
Maget deceased ----- Defendant

This cause was this day docketed by Court, and heard
on the bill and answer, when it is ordered, adjudged and decreed
by the Court, that said James William Bryant, Exrnm Everitt
Samuel Maget and James Maget or any three of them, Commissioners
appointed by the Court, attended by the County Surveyor, to divide
the lands and Haves belonging to the Estate of Nicholas Maget deceased
in the following manner (Viz), first to lay off and assign one
third part of the lands and Haves of which Nicholas Maget died
seized and possessed to Mary J. Maget the widow as her full
Dower therein, having respect to quality as well as quantity and
the remaining two thirds to divide into five equal Parts and assign
one fifth to William Maget, one fifth to Nicholas Maget
one fifth, Alfred Maget, one fifth to Mary Maget and
one fifth to Angelina Maget and that they make their
report to this Court in order to a final decree

Duplicate

a Copy Teste

A. McIsleton D.C.